An Algebraic Approach to the Design of Block Ciphers

José Valença

Óscar Pereira

Tiago Oliveira

{ jmvalenca, oscar, tfaoliveira }@di.uminho.pt

HASLab, INESC TEC & Univ. of Minho (PT)



Mathematical Methods for Cryptography

Svolvær, Lofoten, Norway September 2017



In the beginning...

... there was Óscar's MSc thesis

Wanted to build a (symmetric) cipher, using:

- APNL (Almost Perfect Non-Linear) functions
- **CRT** (Chinese Remainder Theorem)

GOAL: simple algebraic description

And speaking of GOALs...

We also aim to...

• Being able to formally reason about security

• Have a reasonably efficient implementation

On the latter goal, we're not quite there yet...

Cipher structure

• Confusion-Diffusion Permutation (CDP)

• Round (basically a keyed CDP)

• Substitution-Permutation Network (SPN) — iterated round

CDP version 1

$$\mathcal{X}_q \xrightarrow{\mathsf{mod}_q} \Pi_q \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} \Pi_q \xrightarrow{\mathsf{crt}_q} \mathcal{X}_q$$

- $\mathcal{X}_q \rightarrow \text{ring } GF(2)[x]/\langle \Phi_{257} \rangle$, where $\Phi_{257} = 1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^{256}$
- $\Pi_q \rightarrow \text{product ring}$

 $\prod_{i=0}^{15} GF(2)[x]/\langle q_i \rangle$ where each q_i is **irreducible** and with degree 16

• $S \rightarrow$ layer of Sboxes, aligned with the q_i 's

CDP version 1



Problems:

• "good" sbox layer requires prod. ring with odd degree factors

• key mixing also in \mathcal{X}_q ($\cong \Pi_q$) \rightarrow hence it is **block-wise** op, i.e. little actual mixture

CDP version 2

$$\mathcal{X}_p \xrightarrow{\mathsf{mod}_p} \Pi_p \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} \Pi_p \xrightarrow{\mathsf{crt}_p} \mathcal{X}_p$$

• $\Pi_p \rightarrow$ prod. ring, with p_i **irreducible** and of deg 9 or 11 [(11 × 5 + 9) × 4 = 64 × 4 = 256]

• $\mathcal{X}_p \rightarrow \text{ring over } GF(2)$, with modulus $\prod p_i$

This is what is really implemented

CDP: two views

$\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{S}$ is such that makes the diagram commute



Goal: reduce analysis to studying $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{S}}$

Round



- Most operations can be stored as pre-computed matrices
- *Multiplicative key*: op. done in \mathcal{X}_q (not \mathcal{X}_p)
- **MK**: increases the **algebraic degree** of equations? (i.e. increases resistance to algebraic cryptanalysis?)

Is it secure?

A tentative argument...

- APNL / AB strengthens differential immunity
 - And to some extent, linear immunity...
- Niho exponents (APNL power functions) increases algebraic immunity

(cf. J. Cheon and D.H. Lee, *"Almost Perfect Nonlinear Power Functions and Algebraic Attacks"*, 2004)

Three ending notes

• More of a "framework for ciphers" than a cipher per se

• Diffusion matrices

• A (tentative) lattice-based attack

Diffusion matrices

Prob. of output weight *r*, when input has weight ℓ ?

• $||F|| = Prob[F \neq 0]$ • $\psi_r(x) = 1$ iff hw(x) = r

$$DM_{\ell,r} = \|(\psi_r \circ F) \times \psi_\ell\| / \|\psi_\ell\|$$

- Spheres not centered in **0**: flipping bits in arbitrary vectors
- Size is $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)!$

The lattice attack (KPA)



- Resembles Coppersmith ($deg(s, \mu, \nu) < blocksize$)
- Extends Cohn & Heninger (2013)

So to conclude...

Feedback is welcome:

• Efficiency improvements

• The algebraic aspects (starting with the mult. keys)

Questions...

